



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Notice of Motion and Motion to be Relieved as Counsel

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|----|
| DOD: 5/23/2005 | | <p>JEFF S. SHEPARD, attorney for Administrator, VIVIAN WEST, is Petitioner.</p> <p>VIVIAN WEST was appointed Administrator on 08/23/05 without bond.</p> <p>Letters were issued on 08/24/05.</p> <p>Inventory & Appraisal showing the value of the estate at \$190,700.00 was filed 12/12/05.</p> <p>Petitioner states that Vivian West has ceased all contact with his office. All correspondence and telephone calls have been ignored. Petitioner states that he has sent numerous letters to Ms. West regarding her duties as the personal representative of her mother's estate, but none of the letters have been acknowledged and the most recent letters have been returned as undeliverable. Petitioner states that he cannot continue as attorney for Vivian West due to her lack of cooperation and failure to perform her duties as administrator of the estate.</p> <p>Proof of Service filed 04/04/14 indicates that the Notice of Motion and Motion to be Relieved as Counsel and Declaration in Support of Attorney's Motion to be Relieved as Counsel – Civil was mailed to the Administrator at 3 different addresses.</p> | <p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Continued from 08/12/14</u> As of 09/04/14, nothing further has been filed.</p> <p>1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i> and proof of service of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> for Vivian West.</p> | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Cont. from 041014, 061214, 073114, 81214 | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | | x |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | | | w/ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Order | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | | |

| |
|-------------------------------|
| Reviewed by: JF |
| Reviewed on: 09/04/14 |
| Updates: |
| Recommendation: |
| File 1A – Baker-Melton |

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administration, Petition for Final Settlement, (2) for Allowance of Statutory Fees to Attorney and Final Distribution

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| DOD: 05/23/05 | JEFF S. SHEPARD , attorney for Vivian West, Administrator, is Petitioner. | | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>CONTINUED FROM 08/12/14</u> As of 09/04/14, nothing further has been filed. 1. The Petitioner states that he has no knowledge regarding payment of any claims filed against the estate. Creditor's Claims were filed by EECU (\$9,582.67) and Selma District Ambulance (\$4,693.01). No Allowance/Rejection of the claims has been filed. Need Allowance/Rejection of Creditor's Claims. Further, notice of this hearing was not provided to the Creditors (Probate Code § 11000). 2. The Petition proposes to distribute the property evenly to the heirs, Vivian West and Cecil Baker; however, on 12/12/05, Cecil Baker filed an Assignment assigning his interest the estate and requesting distribution of estate assets to Vivian West. In light of the Assignment of Cecil Baker, need clarification regarding the proposed distribution. The Petition does not address the Assignment. 3. The Petition indicates that Vivian West is in possession of all estate assets and Petitioner has lost contact with her and that there is no property on hand to distribute. The Petition does not address how payment of the statutory fees is to be accomplished. The Court may require more information. |
| | Account period: 08/23/05 – 05/15/13 | | |
| Cont. from 81214 | Accounting | - \$195,950.62 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit. | Beginning POH | - \$190,700.00 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified | Ending POH | - \$0.00 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inventory | Administrator (statutory) | - \$6,721.00 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PTC | Attorney (statutory) | - \$6,721.00 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred. | Closing | - \$1,000.00 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg | Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession, is to: | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail w/ | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub. | Vivian West | - ½ interest | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc. | Cecil Baker | - ½ interest | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Letters 08/24/05 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Objections | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CI Report | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9202 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Citation | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice n/a | | | |

| |
|-------------------------------|
| Reviewed by: JF |
| Reviewed on: 09/04/14 |
| Updates: |
| Recommendation: |
| File 1B – Baker-Melton |

Petition to Close Zero Asset Estate and Discharge Executor

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| DOD: 08/08/2006 | | GLORIA MCAFEE , was appointed Executor with full IAEA authority without bond on 10/25/2006. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS: |
| | | | |
| | | Petition states: Attorneys for the Executor, Gloria McAfee, have had no contact with Executor in years and do not have her current contact information. As such the petition is filed by Gilmore, Wood, Vinnard & Magness at the request of the Court. | Continued from 07/29/2014 |
| | | | |
| Cont. from 072914 | | <p>Decedent filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code prior to this death. The primary creditor of the decedent is the United States of America, acting through the United States Department of Agriculture/Farm Service Agency. Following her appointment as executor, Executor requested that the bankruptcy be discharged and that the assets of the bankruptcy estate be delivered to her for probate administration. The United States opposed this request preferring that jurisdiction to adjudicate liability remain in Federal Court and that possession, custody and control of the decedent's assets rests with the Trustee appointed by Bankruptcy Court. The United States Bankruptcy Court denied Executor's request, instead converting the decedent's bankruptcy to a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding- United States Bankruptcy Court Eastern District of California Case No. 06-10342-A-7F.</p> <p>The Bankruptcy Trustee liquidated all of the decedent's assets on 05/17/2010, the Trustee in Bankruptcy filed her final report, showing that creditor's claims greatly exceeded the value of the estate and proposed that after satisfaction of priority claims, general unsecured creditors be paid a dividend of approximately 18.9% of their respective claim. A Notice of filing Trustee's Final Account and Distribution Report, Certification that the Estate has been Fully Administered and Application to be Discharged, Combined with Fixing Deadline for Filing Objections Thereto was filed on 01/04/2011 in the United States Bankruptcy Court. No assets were distributed to the Estate.</p> <p>Please see additional page</p> | <p>The following issues remain:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Petition was not verified by the fiduciary. 2. Need proof of the Notice of Hearing on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • David McAfee • Morgan Bowen • Terecita Carillo |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail w/ | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Letters 10/25/06 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Order | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | |

Reviewed by: LV

Reviewed on: 07/25/2014

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 2 – McAfee

Executor and Gilmore, Wood, Vinnard & Magness, attorneys for Executor, have rendered valuable services to the estate, but inasmuch as the estate has no assets, statutory compensation is \$0. In addition to ordinary services, Gilmore, Wood, Vinnard & Magness rendered in excess of 50 hours of extraordinary services litigating against the United States government and the decedent's brother in both decedent's bankruptcy proceedings and concerning the Estate of May McAfee (the decedent's mother). While the value of such services is significant, there are no assets in the estate from which compensation could be paid.

The decedent left his entire estate to Our Land Self Help Corporation. Unfortunately, the Estate has no assets. Hence, there is nothing to distribute to Our Land Self-Help Corporation.

Petitioner prays that the administration of this estate be brought to a close; the first and final account be settled, allowed, and approved as filed; that all acts and proceedings of Executor as Executor be confirmed and approved; that the Estate be closed and the fees and costs owing to Gilmore, Wood, Vinnard & Magness be discharged; that any further orders be made at the Court considers proper.

(1) First and Final Report of Administrator on Waiver of Account and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Attorneys for Costs of Administration and (3) for Final Distribution

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|---------|
| DOD: 6-12-11 | | CARA PERACCHI DOUGLAS , Spouse and Administrator with Full IAEA without bond, is Petitioner. Accounting is waived. I&A: \$296,760.32 POH: \$296,760.32 consisting of Decedent's community property interest various accounts and partnership/corporation interests. (See declaration filed 10-17-11.) Administrator (Statutory): Waived Attorney (Statutory): Waived Costs: \$1,185.50 Closing: \$500.00 Distribution pursuant to intestate succession (community property): Cara Peracchi Douglas: Entire estate | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Note:</u> The proposed order contains an omnibus clause authorizing distribution of any property not now known or discovered to Petitioner. However, if any property discovered in the future is separate property, the decedent's three children may also be heirs. Therefore, the Court may require this language to be modified or stricken from the order. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | | | W |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | | 9-22-11 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Order | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | | |
| Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 9-2-14 Updates: Recommendation: File 3 - Douglas | | | | |

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and Petition for its Settlement and (2) Approval

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| DOD: 12/7/11 | | <p>KIRK HAGOPIAN, former Administrator, is petitioner.</p> <p>Kirk Hagopian resigned as Administrator and Gloria Hagopian was appointed Successor Administrator on 5/27/14.</p> <p>Account period: 8/12/12 – 5/5/14</p> <p>Accounting - \$216,592.73 Beginning POH- \$213,363.08 Ending POH - \$182,904.96</p> <p>Petitioner states that certain cash assets at the time of decedent's death were wrongfully taken by Gaylene Bolanos and her confederates. Petitioner is represented by Fresno attorney Leigh Burnside in a pending action against Gaylene Bolanos and others to recover the wrongfully taken property. Inventory and appraisal, partial no. 1 shows a bank account totaling \$10,268.71 at the time of decedent's death. Petitioner believes that Gaylene Bolanos misappropriated all of the money in the account, because the account was empty when Petitioner presented Letters to the bank after the opening of the estate. Petitioner believes there were other accounts taken by Gaylene Bolanos, but Petitioner did not inventory them because he lacks records that would show the balances.</p> | <p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>OFF CALENDAR. Amended Accounting filed and set for hearing on 9/24/14.</p> <p>1. Examiner notes from the last hearing indicated that the beginning property on hand did not include all inventories filed and was short \$11,929.79. Attorney has now filed a corrected summary of account which includes all inventories. However, now the disbursements on the corrected summary totals \$11,853.00 when the disbursements on the prior summary of account and schedule B (disbursement schedule) totaled \$28,550.00. A difference of \$16,697.00. Finally, the property on hand is now \$182,904.96 when in the accounting it is listed at \$166,207.96. This issue can no longer be corrected by declaration. An amended accounting will be required.</p> |
| Cont. from 061614, 072914 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg w/ | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Order X | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | |

Please see additional page

Please see additional page.

Reviewed by: KT

Reviewed on: 9/4/14

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 4A - Smart

Petitioner states prior to mid-January 2013 the estate had no cash for payment of decedent's funeral expenses, the expenses to maintain decedent's real property prior to sale, and the retainer requested by the attorney Petitioner hired to take action against Gaylene Bolanos and her confederates. Petitioner borrowed \$10,900.00 from a friend named Rich Curll, to pay those expenses (itemization included in the accounting).

Petitioner prays for an Order:

1. The first and final account and report of Petitioner as Administrator be settled, allowed and approved as filed;
2. All acts and proceedings of Petitioner during his tenure as Administrator of the Decedent's estate be confirmed and approved;
3. Such further order as the Court considers proper.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont.):

2. Petition does not state the status of the litigation against Gaylene Bolanos and her confederates. \$9,500.00 was paid to attorney Jeff Hammerschmidt as a retainer for his services. Court may require more information as to the litigation and the status of the retainer paid to attorney Hammerschmidt. – Declaration of Kirk Hagopian still does not give any information on the status of the retainer paid to Mr. Hammerschmidt. If Mr. Hammerschmidt did not do any work as alleged, then the retainer should be returned. Mr. Hagopian should have received a billing statement from Mr. Hammerschmidt regarding the retainer. The Court will require a copy of the billing statement showing what portion of the retainer was used and what it was used for. In addition, Mr. Hagopian states he has now hired attorney Leigh Burnside to prosecute an action against Ms. Bolanos. Mr. Hagopian states the action is still pending. Examiner was not able to find any action against Ms. Bolanos in Fresno County. Court will require the case number of the action filed against Ms. Bolanos to recover assets.
3. Disbursement schedule shows a disbursement of \$10,900 to repay the loan to Rich Curll however the receipt schedule does not show that the \$10,900 was received into the estate. Therefore the accounting does not balance. – Declaration states Mr. Hagopian never put the loan into the estate because no estate account had been opened. Any loan received by the estate that is ultimately paid back from the estate must be included in the receipt schedule or the accounting will not balance.
4. Itemization for costs advanced by Petitioner includes payment of \$18.00 to DMV to file non-operational on vehicle. However, no vehicle was ever inventoried into the estate. Need clarification. – Declaration of Mr. Hagopian states at the time of her death the decedent had an old auto that was in very poor condition. It had no value so he gave it away. He was required to pay the DMV \$18.00 to register car as no-operational. – The auto should have been included in the inventory whether or not it had value. In addition the court may require more information about this gift of the decedent's car.
5. Need Order

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| DOD: 12/07/11 | | GLORIA HAGOPIAN , was appointed successor administrator with bond set at \$10,000.00 and the remainder of funds to be placed into a blocked account on 05/27/14. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Continued to 9/24/14 to be heard with the Amended Accounting.</u> 1. Need Receipt and Acknowledgment of Order for the Deposit of Money into Blocked Account. – Copy of Receipt received (original to be filed). Receipt shows deposits totaling \$166,229.51 of which \$161,229.51 is blocked. The accounting on page 5A shows that the property on hand at the end of the account period is \$182,904.96. Therefore, the receipt is short \$21,675.45. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Cont. from 070814, 072214, 072914 | | | |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| Verified | | | |
| Inventory | | | |
| PTC | | | |
| Not.Cred. | | | |
| Notice of Hrg | | Order to Deposit Money Into Blocked Account filed 7/11/14 orders all funds except for the sum of \$5,000.00 to be placed into a blocked account. | |
| Aff.Mail | | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | | |
| Conf. Screen | | | |
| Letters | | | |
| Duties/Supp | | | |
| Objections | | | |
| Video Receipt | | | |
| CI Report | | | |
| 9202 | | | |
| Order | | | |
| Aff. Posting | | | |
| Status Rpt | | | |
| UCCJEA | | | |
| Citation | | | |
| FTB Notice | | | |
| Reviewed by: KT | | | |
| Reviewed on: 9/4/14 | | | |
| Updates: | | | |
| Recommendation: | | | |
| File 4B - Smart | | | |

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| DOD: 4-25-14 | | RITA RANGEL MUNOZ , Mother, is Petitioner. 40 days since DOD No other proceedings I&A: \$115,000.00 (real property) Will dated 8-23-99 devises personal effects to Petitioner and the residue to the Manuel Rangel Munoz Revocable Trust. Petitioner requests Court determination that Decedent's 100% interest in real property in Parlier passes to her as successor trustee of the trust. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Cont. from 081214 | | | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| ✓ | Verified | | |
| ✓ | Inventory | | |
| | PTC | | |
| | Not.Cred. | | |
| | Notice of Hrg | | |
| N/A | Aff.Mail | | |
| | Aff.Pub. | | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| | Pers.Serv. | | |
| | Conf. Screen | | |
| | Letters | | |
| | Duties/Supp | | |
| | Objections | | |
| | Video Receipt | | |
| | CI Report | | |
| | 9202 | | |
| ✓ | Order | | |
| | Aff. Posting | | |
| | Status Rpt | | |
| | UCCJEA | | |
| | Citation | | |
| | FTB Notice | | |

| |
|----------------------------------|
| Reviewed by: skc |
| Reviewed on: 8-8-14 |
| Updates: |
| Recommendation: SUBMITTED |
| File 7 – Munoz |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|-----|
| DOD: 11-3-13 | | MARYANN W. GIBBS , Spouse, is Petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with full IAEA without bond. All heirs nominate Petitioner and waive bond. Full IAEA – ok Decedent died intestate Residence: Fresno Publication: Business Journal Estimated Value of Estate: Personal property: \$25,000.00 Probate Referee: Steven Diebert | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Note:</u> The Court will set status hearings as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tues 1-13-15 for filing the Inventory and Appraisal Tues 1-12-16 for filing the first account or petition for final distribution. If the proper items are on file per local rules, the status hearings may be taken off calendar. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | | | w/o |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Order | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | | |
| | | | Reviewed by: skc | |
| | | | Reviewed on: 9-2-14 | |
| | | | Updates: | |
| | | | Recommendation: SUBMITTED | |
| | | | File 8 - Gibbs | |

Atty Valenzuela, Eduardo (Pro Per Petitioner)

Atty Valenzuela, Maria (Pro Per Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | NO TEMP REQUESTED | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| ✓ | Verified | | | <u>Court Investigator advised rights on 8-18-14</u> |
| | Inventory | | | |
| | PTC | | | <u>Voting rights affected – need minute order</u> |
| | Not.Cred. | | | |
| ✓ | Notice of Hrg | | Voting rights affected | |
| ✓ | Aff.Mail | W | A Capacity Declaration was filed 8-5-14. | |
| | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | | Petitioners state the proposed | |
| ✓ | Pers.Serv. | W | Conservatee is diagnosed with autism, | |
| ✓ | Conf. Screen | | mental retardation and a speech | |
| ✓ | Letters | | impediment. He requires constant | |
| ✓ | Duties/Supp | | supervision and his cognitive | |
| | Objections | | functioning is about that of a six to | |
| | Video Receipt | | seven year old child. He does try to | |
| | CI Report | | speak but is difficult to understand | |
| | 9202 | | and he has difficulties expressing his | |
| ✓ | Order | | thoughts and desires. Petitioners are | |
| | Aff. Posting | | the proposed conservatee's brother | Reviewed by: skc |
| | Status Rpt | | and sister-in-law and the family have | Reviewed on: 9-3-14 |
| | UCCJEA | | asked them to secure conservatorship | Updates: |
| ✓ | Citation | | because their mother is aging and will | Recommendation: |
| | FTB Notice | | not be able to care for the proposed | File 9 - Valenzuela |
| | | | Conservatee much longer. He will | |
| | | | eventually have to be moved from | |
| | | | her home. | |
| | | | Court Investigator Charlotte Bien filed a report on 8-29-14. | |

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| DOD: 4-14-12 | SANTOS PEREZ was appointed as Administrator with Full IAEA with bond of \$78,000.00 on 1-10-13. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | Continued from 3-7-14, 5-9-14, 7-8-14 |
| | On 1-10-13, the Court set this status hearing for the filing of the first account or petition for final distribution. | As of 9-2-14, nothing further has been filed. |
| Cont from 030714, 050914, 070814 | Additional info: | 1. Need first account or petition for final distribution or verified written status report pursuant to local rule. |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | Bond was filed and Letters issued on 6-18-13. | Note: The status report filed 3-7-14 did not tell the Court the status of the estate (e.g., what issues?) and was not verified by the personal representative. Need account or verified status report per §12200, 1023, local rules. |
| Verified | Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 7-24-13 indicated a total estate value of \$96,400.00 consisting of real property and a vehicle. | Note: The status report filed 5-8-14 is not verified and does not indicate an estimated time frame for closing the estate. The Court may require additional information. |
| Inventory | On 7-29-13, the California Dept. of Health Care Services Estate Recovery Branch filed a Creditor's Claim for \$5,169.45 and a Request for Special Notice. | |
| PTC | | |
| Not.Cred. | | |
| Notice of Hrg | | |
| Aff.Mail | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | |
| Conf. Screen | Status Report filed 3-7-14 (not verified) states Mr. Fanucchi request continuance. The Spanish-speaking client has been requested to schedule an appointment to discuss closing the estate. There are issues with family members that need to be resolved before the estate can be closed. | |
| Letters | | |
| Duties/Supp | | |
| Objections | | |
| Video Receipt | | |
| CI Report | Status Report filed 5-8-14 by Attorney Fanucchi (not verified) states the Administrator continues to live in the house that is an asset of the estate and he wants to have the house distributed to him under <u>Marvin</u> . There are four intestate heirs – two have signed a renunciation and two have and will not, in favor of the Administrator. The Administrator and the decedent lived together from 1987 until her death on 4-14-12, more than 25 years, and the Administrator paid house payments monthly for all of that period. There are also two creditor's claims on file by DHS that need to be resolved. | |
| 9202 | | |
| Order | | |
| Aff. Posting | | Reviewed by: skc |
| Status Rpt | | Reviewed on: 9-2-14 |
| UCCJEA | | Updates: |
| Citation | | Recommendation: |
| FTB Notice | | File 10 – Gonzalez |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| DOD: 08/17/12 | <p>EDWARD SIMPSON, brother, was appointed Administrator with Limited IAEA, without bond, with deposits of \$1,000,000.00 to be placed into a blocked account on 10/16/13. Letters of Administration were issued on 10/22/13.</p> <p>Status Hearing Report filed 07/10/14 states: The only assets of the estate are an insurance policy for \$1,000,000.00. The worker's compensation claim will be payable to decedent's son and son's mother. The parties in the insurance claim went to mediation in San Diego on 06/20/14 and have settled the matter. The final settlement agreement is still awaiting approval from the court. The estate is receiving approximately \$400,000.00 but that figure might change a little depending on the attorney's fees. The Administrator cannot file a receipt for the deposit of funds into a blocked account or a final inventory & appraisal because no funds have been distributed to the case. The court must approve the settlement agreement before any funds can be distributed to the estate. A 60 day continuance is requested.</p> | <p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>CONTINUED FROM 07/15/14 Minute Order from 07/15/14 states: Counsel reports that they are waiting on the settlement to be approved.</u></p> <p>1. Need Receipt & Acknowledgement of Order for the Deposit of Money into Blocked Account.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> It does not appear that an Order to Deposit Money into Blocked Account has been submitted/signed.</p> |
| Cont. from 111513, 031714, 071514 | | |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| Verified | | |
| Inventory | | |
| PTC | | |
| Not.Cred. | | |
| Notice of Hrg | | |
| Aff.Mail | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | |
| Conf. Screen | | |
| Letters | | |
| Duties/Supp | | |
| Objections | | |
| Video Receipt | | |
| CI Report | | |
| 9202 | | |
| Order | | |
| Aff. Posting | | |
| Status Rpt | | |
| UCCJEA | | |
| Citation | | |
| FTB Notice | | |
| <p>Reviewed by: JF</p> <p>Reviewed on: 09/04/14</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 11A – Simpson</p> | | |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| DOD: 08/17/12 | EDWARD SIMPSON , brother, was appointed Administrator with limited IAEA, without bond, with deposits of \$1,000,000.00 to be placed into a blocked account on 10/16/13. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Cont. from 012114, 031714, 071514 | Letters of Administration were issued on 10/22/13. | CONTINUED FROM 07/15/14 Minute Order from 07/15/14 states: Counsel reports that they are waiting on the settlement to be approved. |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | | 1. Need inventory and appraisal. |
| Verified | Minute order dated 8/20/13 set this status hearing for the filing of the inventory and appraisal. | |
| Inventory | | |
| PTC | | |
| Not.Cred. | | |
| Notice of Hrg | Status Hearing Report filed 07/10/14 states: The only assets of the estate are an insurance policy for \$1,000,000.00. The worker's compensation claim will be payable to decedent's son and son's mother. The parties in the insurance claim went to mediation in San Diego on 06/20/14 and have settled the matter. The final settlement agreement is still awaiting approval from the court. The estate is receiving approximately \$400,000.00 but that figure might change a little depending on the attorney's fees. The Administrator cannot file a receipt for the deposit of funds into a blocked account or a final inventory & appraisal because no funds have been distributed to the case. The court must approve the settlement agreement before any funds can be distributed to the estate. A 60 day continuance is requested. | |
| Aff.Mail | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | |
| Conf. Screen | | |
| Letters | | |
| Duties/Supp | | |
| Objections | | |
| Video Receipt | | |
| CI Report | | |
| 9202 | | |
| Order | | Reviewed on: 09/04/14 |
| Aff. Posting | | Updates: |
| Status Rpt | | Recommendation: |
| UCCJEA | | File 11B – Simpson |
| Citation | | |
| FTB Notice | | |

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| | | LEONEL RIOS , son is petitioner and filed a Petition for Letters of Administration requesting appointment as Administrator with bond set at \$122,000.00 on 09/16/2013. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | | |
| | | ANA RIOS SENN aka ANNA RIOS , daughter is petitioned and requested appointment as Administrator with Will Annexed and with bond set at \$92,000.00 on 10/23/2013. | Minute Order of 08/11/2014: The Court Orders trial by affidavit be filed no later than 09/02/2014. The Court will issue its ruling on 09/09/2014. The Court directs all briefs be sent directly to his attention. Any additional documents shall be filed by 08/20/2014 and any further response by 08/26/2014. |
| Cont. from 081114 | | LEONEL RIOS , filed a Will Contest on 12/04/2013. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | Minute Order of 02/24/2014 Settlement Conference: Parties engage in settlement discussions with the Court. Petition for Special Administration for the limited purpose of determining status of property, loans and taxes to be filed by counsel. | 1. Need Joint Status Report. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | Minute Order of 07/07/2014: The trial date of 07/15/2014 is vacated. Matter is set for Status Hearing on 08/11/2014. Counsel is directed to submit a joint status report one week before the hearing. The letters of special administration are extended to 08/11/2014. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | Status Conference and Supplemental Settlement Conference Statement filed by Attorney Stephanie Krause on 08/08/2014 states the Public Administrator has learned there have been no payments on the 2621 Aspen, Selma California property since 09/22/2011. The current amount still due on the house is \$79,800.00. The amount of \$9,555.25 is owed in property taxes. The decedent had no death benefits from his prior employment, he had a 403B Plan \$261 in the account. The decedent had a CALPERS account, but there are no benefits. Two checks were sent to the decedent AFTER his death AND THEY WERE CASHED. CALPERS is requesting reimbursement. The amount was approximately \$800. There is EECU Fresno account with \$5.00. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Order | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | Please see additional page | Reviewed by: LV |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | Reviewed on: 09/05/2014 |
| | | | Updates: |
| | | | Recommendation: |
| | | | File 12 – Rios |

As previously set forth in February Settlement Conference Statement, Decedent's Estate should be probated under the law of intestacy, with each child receiving a 1/3 interest in the decedent's estate.

Settlement Conference Statement of Ana Rios Senn filed on 08/08/2014 states Attorneys for the parties had a conference following the hearing on Leo's Petition to discuss the issues. To date, no agreement has been reached. The question before the Court is whether hand-written Will of decedent is valid. Ana contends it is for the following reasons:

1. The will is in the handwriting of Decedent and was signed by Decedent.
2. The will speaks for itself in that Decedent named Ana as his sole beneficiary.
3. Decedent did have the capacity to execute a Will on 11/14/2012.
4. Decedent was not unduly influenced by Ana.
5. Decedent was not under duress when the will was created.

In conclusion the Will of the decedent is valid. It was written and signed by the decedent and in plain English says that Ana was his sole beneficiary. Decedent was not unduly influenced by Ana no was he under duress when he executed his Will.

Contestants Trial Brief filed 09/02/2014 by Attorney Steffanie J. Krause.

Petitioners Trial Brief filed 09/05/2014 by Attorney Jeff S. Shepard.

| | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| DOD: 5-11-12 | | BEN G. SHERFY was appointed as Executor without IAEA and without bond and Letters issued on 5-6-14. At the hearing on 5-6-14, the Court set this status hearing for the filing of the Inventory and Appraisal. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need Inventory and Appraisal pursuant to Probate Code §8800. |
| | | | |
| | | At the hearing on 5-6-14, the Court set this status hearing for the filing of the Inventory and Appraisal. | |
| | | | |
| | | Verified Status Report filed 8-29-14 states the estate consists of a number of residential rental properties held by the decedent at the time of his death. The probate referee has recently requested additional information regarding the assets to accurately determine values. The Executor is gathering the information expeditiously and once available with provide it to the probate referee. | |
| | | | |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| Verified Inventory | | | |
| PTC | | | |
| Not.Cred. | | | |
| Notice of Hrg | | | |
| Aff.Mail | | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | | |
| Conf. Screen | | | |
| Letters | | | |
| Duties/Supp | | | |
| Objections | | | |
| Video Receipt | | | |
| CI Report | | | |
| 9202 | | | |
| Order | | | |
| Aff. Posting | | | |
| Status Rpt | | | |
| UCCJEA | | | |
| Citation | | | |
| FTB Notice | | | |
| | | Reviewed by: skc | |
| | | Reviewed on: 9-3-14 | |
| | | Updates: 9-5-14 | |
| | | Recommendation: | |
| | | File 13 - Sherfy | |

(1) Petition for Final Distribution on Waiver of Accounting and (2) for Allowance of Statutory

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| DOD: 12/24/2004 | | PAMELA GAY LASSLEY , Executor, is petitioner. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Continued from 8/5/14. As of 9/4/14 the following issues remain: 1. Will distributes the estate equally to the decedent's four children with the share of the estate going to Richard Sharrah being placed into trust for his benefit. The proposed distribution does not distribute the remaining property equally to each beneficiary. Three of the children are to receive 38,881.79 each and the trust for the benefit of Richard is to receive \$33,381.79. Each beneficiary, including the trust for the benefit of Richard, should be receiving \$37,506.79. – Declaration of Petitioner states Richard Smith received an additional \$5,000.00 from an account that was not included in the probate proceedings. Note: Accounts outside of probate have no bearing on this proceeding and therefore distribution should be equal as designated in the decedent's will. 2. Petition and proposed order do not include the terms of the trust in its entirety. – Declaration includes a portion of the terms of the trust but fails to include the section regarding the Trustee of the Trust. 3. Order does not comply with Local Rule 7.6.1 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | I & A - \$149,000.00 | |
| | | POH - \$150,027.15 | |
| Cont. from 061714, 080514 | | Executor - waives | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | X | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | X | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | W/ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Letters | 6/7/05 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Order | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | N/A | |
| | | Proposed distribution is to: Pamela Gay Lassley - \$38,881.78 Nancy McMurray - \$38,881.79 Robert Lee Sharrah - \$38,881.79 Richard Sharrah - \$33,381.79 | |
| | | Reviewed by: KT Reviewed on: 9/4/14 Updates: Recommendation: File 14 - Sharrah | |

15A Justin Quintero, Matthew Quintero, and Jazlin Quintero (GUARD/P)**Case No. 07CEPR00053****Atty Rodriguez, Christina S. (Pro Per – Mother – Petitioner)****Atty Johnston, Mary (Pro Per – Guardian)****Petition for Termination of Guardianship**

| | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | CHRISTINA S. RODRIGUEZ , Mother, is Petitioner. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Note:</u> Petitioner Christina S. Rodriguez also filed a petition for visitation. Please see Page B. 1. If this matter goes forward, need notice to <u>all relatives</u> pursuant to Probate Code §1460(b)(5). (Notice of Hearing filed 9-3-14 indicates service by mail on the guardian only.) |
| | | | MARY JOHNSTON , Paternal Grandmother, was appointed guardian on 7-22-13. | |
| | | | Father: JOSE QUINTERO | |
| | | | Paternal Grandfather: Victor Quintero | |
| | | | Maternal grandfather: Ricardo Rodriguez | |
| | | | Maternal Grandmother: Sarah Rodriguez | |
| | | | Siblings: Angel Rodriguez, Luis Levato | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | Petitioner states she is residing in an inpatient program at West Care. The program allows children to be placed with their mother. Petitioner feels she is ready to take responsibility. She attended Fresno New Connection prior to enrolling at West Care. Petitioner states the guardian has allowed the father Jose Quintero to stay in her home and be around the children when he has showed no progress in drug treatment. Petitioner feels her children are in danger because the father is allowed to be there. Petitioner shows a lot of change in her treatment program and would like her children to be placed with her. | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Order | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | | |
| Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 8-29-14. | | | | |
| Reviewed by: skc | | | | |
| Reviewed on: 9-3-14 | | | | |
| Updates: 9-4-14 | | | | |
| Recommendation: | | | | |
| File 15A – Rodriguez, Lavato & Quintero | | | | |

15A

Atty Rodriguez, Christina S. (Pro Per – Mother – Petitioner)

Atty Johnston, Mary (Pro Per – Guardian)

Ex Parte Petition for Visitation

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | CHRISTINA S. RODRIGUEZ , Mother, is Petitioner. MARY JOHNSTON , Paternal Grandmother, was appointed guardian on 7-22-13. Father: JOSE QUINTERO Paternal Grandfather: Victor Quintero Maternal grandfather: Ricardo Rodriguez Maternal Grandmother: Sarah Rodriguez Siblings: Angel Rodriguez, Luis Levato Petitioner states she is residing in an inpatient program at West Care. The program allows children to be placed with their mother. The guardian is not doing her part of the mediation agreement with visits. The program offers visits every Saturday from 9-12. The Guardian said she would let Petitioner be a part of the kids' lives if she was in a treatment program, but she does not do so at all. Petitioner requests the Court please take her progress in treatment to prove her life has changed and approve visits for the kids. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Petitioner has not provided notice of hearing to the guardian. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| ✓ | Verified | | | |
| | Inventory | | | |
| | PTC | | | |
| | Not.Cred. | | | |
| | Notice of Hrg | x | | |
| | Aff.Mail | | | |
| | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| | Conf. Screen | | | |
| | Letters | | | |
| | Duties/Supp | | | |
| | Objections | | | |
| | Video Receipt | | | |
| | CI Report | | | |
| | 9202 | | | |
| | Order | | | |
| | Aff. Posting | | | |
| | Status Rpt | | | |
| | UCCJEA | | | |
| | Citation | | | |
| | FTB Notice | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | <p>GLORIA PRADO, Co-Guardian, is Petitioner.</p> <p>GLORIA PRADO, paternal grandmother and ALFONSO PRADO, paternal step-grandfather, were appointed Co-Guardians of Elijah on 8-6-14.</p> <p>On the same day as the appointment, 8-6-14, Petitioner filed this petition to remove Mr. Prado as Co-Guardian because he is no longer living in the house and they will be getting divorced. Petitioner states he did not sign the original guardianship papers, and she does not know where he lives.</p> <p>Petitioner's declaration of due diligence for Mr. Prado states she has filed for divorce and a restraining order against him and he is dodging service.</p> <p>Petitioner also filed declarations of due diligence for Ofelia Hernandez (mother), Adriana Hernandez (sister), Eduardo Lopez and Felix Lopez (half-brothers), and Abel Hernandez (grandfather).</p> | <p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p><u>Note:</u> This petition pertains to the minor Elijah Hernandez only. The minor Adriana remains with her guardians, paternal aunts Lillian Lopez and Anabel Hernandez.</p> <p>1. The Court may require notice to Co-Guardian and the minor and all interested family members.</p> |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| ✓ | Verified | | | |
| | Inventory | | | |
| | PTC | | | |
| | Not.Cred. | | | |
| | Notice of Hrg | | | |
| | Aff.Mail | | | |
| | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| | Conf. Screen | | | |
| | Letters | | | |
| | Duties/Supp | | | |
| | Objections | | | |
| | Video Receipt | | | |
| | CI Report | | | |
| | 9202 | | | |
| | Order | | | |
| | Aff. Posting | | | |
| | Status Rpt | | | |
| | UCCJEA | | | |
| | Citation | | | |
| | FTB Notice | | | |
| | | | <p>Reviewed by: skc</p> <p>Reviewed on: 9-3-14</p> <p>Updates:</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>File 16 - Hernandez</p> | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | WHITTIE CARRILLO , Mother, is Petitioner. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Continued from 7-15-14.</u> <u>See minute order.</u> |
| | | SHELLY A. MUSGRAVE , Paternal Grandmother, was appointed guardian on 7-15-13. | |
| Cont. from 071514 | | Father: JEREMY B. MUSGRAVE | 1. Need Notice of Hearing. 2. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 15 days prior to the hearing on all relatives pursuant to Probate Code §1460(b)(5): - Shelly Musgrave (Guardian) - Jeremy Musgrave (Father) - Paul Musgrave (Paternal Grandfather) - Joe Carrillo (Maternal Grandfather) - Tammy Carmichael (Maternal Grandmother) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Order | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | |
| | | Paternal Grandfather: Paul M. Musgrave Maternal Grandfather: Joe P. Carrillo Maternal Grandmother: Tammy L. Carmichael Petitioner states she is requesting reinstatement of permanent custody of her son. She has completed the program through Pathways to Recovery as the sitting judge requested to regain custody of her son. She has maintained sobriety sine her son was placed in guardianship this past year. Petitioner expects to continue to live the life of sobriety for herself and her son. She is confident that she is ready to give him the stability he deserves. Petitioner states she has been accused of not understanding her son's medical condition and limitations, yet when she had custody, she had discussions with his cardiologist and will continue to do so in the future. She understands he requires more than the average child. Petitioner states she has hesitated to seek full time employment due to the claims by the guardian that she has a lack of regard for her son's well-being when she commits to his visiting. Once she has custody and normalcy again, she has childcare arranged and looks forward to working and providing for her son. Her family offers emotional, physical, and financial support. Petitioner states she has completed and succeeded in all requests from the court this past year and requests custody be granted back to her. Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 7-8-14. | Note: Guardian Shelly Musgrave filed an Objection on 7-14-14 containing information and a letter from a Children's Hospital Social Worker regarding Jaiden's condition and care plan. Please see Objection and Letter for details. Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 9-2-14 Updates: Recommendation: File 17 - Musgrave |

Page 2

Minute Order 7-15-14: The Court finds that actual notice has been provided to Shelly Musgrave given that she is present in court. Court Investigator Julie Negrete is sworn for further inquiry by the Court. Ms. Negrete is directed to submit a supplemental report. Matter is continued to 9/9/14. Visitation is ordered as follows: mother shall have the child every weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The guardian shall be responsible for delivering the child to the mother by no later than 6:00 p.m. on Friday. Mother will be responsible for returning the child to the guardian by no later than 6:00 p.m. on Sunday. Continued to 9/9/14 @ 9:00 am. Dept. 303

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | GENERAL HEARING 10-28-14 | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | | | |
| | | | JAMIE L. HARRIS RAULS , Maternal Aunt, is Petitioner. | 1. Need Notice of Hearing. 2. Need proof of personal service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the temp petition at least five court days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §2250(e) <u>or</u> consent and waiver of notice <u>or</u> declaration of due diligence on: - Devron Todd (Father) - Devine Todd (Minor) |
| | | | Father: DEVRON TODD Mother: REBECCA GARDNER (Deceased) | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | Paternal Grandparents: Not listed Maternal Grandfather: Willie Lee Harris Maternal Grandmother: Benona Gardner | |
| ✓ | Verified | | Siblings: Mariah Todd, Shykeila Brown | |
| | Inventory | | Petitioner states temporary guardianship is needed to maintain a safe, stable, and healthy environment, free from erratic changes. | |
| | PTC | | | |
| | Not.Cred. | | | |
| | Notice of Hrg | x | | |
| | Aff.Mail | | | |
| | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| | Pers.Serv. | x | | |
| ✓ | Conf. Screen | | | |
| ✓ | Letters | | | |
| ✓ | Duties/Supp | | | |
| | Objections | | | |
| | Video Receipt | | | |
| | CI Report | | | |
| | 9202 | | | |
| ✓ | Order | | | |
| | Aff. Posting | | | |
| | Status Rpt | | | |
| ✓ | UCCJEA | | | |
| | Citation | | | |
| | FTB Notice | | | |
| | | | | Reviewed by: skc |
| | | | | Reviewed on: 9-3-14 |
| | | | | Updates: |
| | | | | Recommendation: |
| | | | | File 18 - Todd |

1 Wanda H. Bingham (CONS/PE)

Case No. 11CEPR00949

Atty Roberts, David A.

Atty Boyett, Deborah K.

Atty Burnside, Leigh W

First Amended First Account and Report of Conservator and Petition for
Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorneys' Fees and Costs

| | | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Age: | | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>CONTINUED TO 9-23-14 at 9:00 am in Dept. 303</u> Per attorney request. |
| DOD: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Cont. from | | |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| Verified | | |
| Inventory | | |
| PTC | | |
| Not.Cred. | | |
| Notice of Hrg | | |
| Aff.Mail | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | |
| Conf. Screen | | |
| Letters | | |
| Duties/Supp | | |
| Objections | | |
| Video Receipt | | |
| CI Report | | |
| 9202 | | |
| Order | | |
| Aff. Posting | | |
| Status Rpt | | |
| UCCJEA | | |
| Citation | | |
| FTB Notice | | |
| Reviewed by: skc | | |
| Reviewed on: 9-4-14 | | |
| Updates: | | |
| Recommendation: | | |
| File 1 - Bingham | | |

Paris Rose Phillips (GUARD/P)

Case No. 14CEPR00351

Atty Ruiz, Richard (for Rose Hess – Paternal Great-Grandmother – Petitioner)

Atty Pulido, Reynaldo Carrillo (for Jennifer Weikel – Mother – Objector)

Atty Phillips, Robert (Pro Per – Father – Objector)

Status Hearing

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | ROSE HESS , Paternal Great-Grandmother, filed a petition for temporary guardianship and a petition for general guardianship of the minor on 4-15-14 and temporary guardianship was granted on an ex parte basis on 4-15-14. | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | | | | Note: This matter is scheduled at 10:00 am in Dept. 303. |
| | | | | Note: Because this matter went directly to contested hearing, the actual petition for guardianship was not reviewed by an Examiner for compliance with notice requirements, etc., pursuant to Probate Code §1511. At this time, if guardianship petition goes forward, the Court may require the following issue to be addressed: |
| | | | | 1. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing (GC-020) with a copy of the Petition filed 4-15-14 on all relatives pursuant to Probate Code §1511, or consent and waiver of notice, or declarations of due diligence re notice. |
| | | | | Note: The parents have participated in the proceedings here by filing objections, however, they have not been given proper notice. There has been nothing filed with regard to the grandparents who were not listed on the petition who are each entitled to notice. |
| | | | | Note: The father was not party to the stipulation to continue hearing to 7-22-14 and therefore he was not present. It is unclear if he was aware. |
| | | | | Reviewed by: skc |
| | | | | Reviewed on: 9-4-14 |
| | | | | Updates: |
| | | | | Recommendation: |
| | | | | File 1 - Phillips |

Additional notes:

There is also a related Family Law matter 13CEFL03179 (Dissolution with DV Restraining Order) ongoing in Family Court since June 2013 that involves two minor children: this minor and a sibling. Details:

- This petitioner Rose Hess was joined as a party to the family law matter on 8-27-13 and has been a participant in that case since that time.
- On 11-14-13, the mother was granted a three-year restraining order against the father.
- On 12-20-13, the Family Court granted joint legal and physical custody to the parents with visitation set forth in a separate order.
- A status conference was set for 4-16-14 in Dept. 202; however, Petitioner Rose Hess filed this guardianship petition and was granted temporary guardianship on an ex parte basis on 4-15-14. There were no appearances in Dept. 202 on 4-16-14 and the Court continued to 12-30-14 for further status.

Pursuant to Minute Order 5-1-14 in Probate Guardianship matter 14CEPR00351, the mother was granted supervised visits, both parents authorized to attend, and both parents were granted one phone call per weekend.

Pursuant to Minute Order 7-22-14 in Probate Guardianship matter 14CEPR00351, the parties were sent to Probate Mediation re visitation. According to the Probate Mediator's Referral, an agreement was reached; however, no agreement was ever filed with this Court.

1A George Feodor Taylor (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR00482

Atty McCloskey, Daniel T. (for Gregory L. Taylor – Administrator)

Atty Anderson, Bonnie J. (for Diane Taylor – Objector)

Petition to Approve Attorney's Fees and Costs

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| DOD: 02/16/09 | | DIANE TAYLOR , Objector, is Petitioner and states/alleges: 1. She is the daughter of decedent and his first wife. On 03/19/13, she retained attorney Bonnie Anderson to represent her in connection with recovering her inheritance that had been taken and withheld by Gregory Taylor ("Administrator"). 2. Decedent died on 02/16/09, but Gregory Taylor did not file a petition to probate the estate within 30 days and failed to provide Objector with a copy of the Codicil and failed to disburse to Objector the inheritance Gregory knew she was entitled to receive. 3. On 03/26/13, Objector's attorney drafted and mailed a letter to Gregory requesting Objector's inheritance. 4. On 05/31/13, Gregory filed his initial Petition for Probate which included a 1989 document that Gregory purported was decedent's last will and testament. This Petition for Probate did not list Objector as a beneficiary or attach the Codicil to decedent's will, although he was aware of the Codicil and that it named Objector as a beneficiary entitled to receive a \$20,000.00 cash inheritance. 5. Gregory Taylor filed a First Amended Petition for Probate that also intentionally omitted the Objector as a beneficiary and did not include the Codicil. 6. Objector was forced to retain counsel to appear and raise objections to Gregory's initial Petition for Probate. 7. After the objection was filed, Gregory filed a Second Amended Petition which finally listed Objector as a beneficiary and attached the Codicil. 8. Gregory Taylor ("Administrator") continued to file various pleadings which contained false statements which required Objector's counsel to appear and ensure that the Administrator would not be able to abscond with and retain the funds from the sale of a residence and again not pay Objector the inheritance to which she was entitled. Continued on page 2 | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: <u>Note: This matter is scheduled at 10:30 am in Dept. 303.</u> 1. Need Order. |
| Cont. from | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verified | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Inventory | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | PTC | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Not.Cred. | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Notice of Hrg | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Mail w/ | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff.Pub. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Sp.Ntc. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pers.Serv. | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conf. Screen | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Letters | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Duties/Supp | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Objections | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Video Receipt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CI Report | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 9202 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Order x | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Aff. Posting | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Status Rpt | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | UCCJEA | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Citation | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | FTB Notice | | |

Reviewed by: JF

Reviewed on: 09/05/14

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 1A - Taylor

9. Objector's attorney, Bonnie Anderson, rendered the necessary services described in detail in her monthly billing statements from March 2013 through August 14, 2014 and will be rendering further services in connection with responding to a recently received pleading from the Administrator seeking to surcharge monies from Objector despite the indisputable fact that the Objection and related matters were and are directly related to Administrator and his counsel's fraudulent and ongoing fraudulent filings and amendments. The reasonable value of the attorney's fees provided by Attorney Bonnie Anderson as of 08/14/14, as evidenced by monthly billing statements is \$14,630.50 plus costs and expenses in the amount of \$1,725.03. It is believed that additional fees and costs will be incurred in connection with responding to recent pleadings filed by the Administrator.

Objector, Diane Taylor, prays for an Order:

1. Awarding Objector her reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

Declaration of Diane Taylor in Support of Petition for Fees and Costs filed 08/15/14.

Declaration of Bonnie J. Anderson in Support of Petition for Fees and Costs filed 08/15/14 attaches billing statements.

Gregory L. Taylor's Response to Objector, Diane Taylor's Petition for Approval of Attorney's Fees and Costs filed 08/27/14 admits the factual allegations in the Petition and denies allegations of wrong doing and asserts affirmative defenses.

Memorandum of Points & Authorities in Support of Gregory Taylor's Objection to Petition for Approval of Fees and Costs filed 08/27/14 states:

1. Objector seeks fees for a period of time outside the time frame as set by the Court. At the hearing on 07/10/14, where Administrator sought approval of his First and Final Report, etc. which petition was met with numerous objections from the Objector. At that time, Administrator did not seek a surcharge against the Objector and requested the Court approve the Objector's full inheritance.
2. The Objections filed by Objector were overruled by the Court in total on 07/10/14. The Court was very specific regarding the last remaining issues in this matter. The Court was allowing the Objector and her attorney time to file a proper petition for attorney's fees, regarding those fees that were necessary to have the 2006 Codicil admitted to Probate, and the Court, on its own direction, is seeking to surcharge the Objector for the fees that the Administrator has incurred in responding to Objector's pleadings, objections, false claims of discovery disputes, appearance and objections at the sale of the residence, the only asset of the estate. Administrator has responded to the Court's request concerning a surcharge against the Objector and filed a Petition for Surcharge and supporting documents.
3. Objector has now requested fees and costs that are not related to the admission of the Codicil and are a direct result of the Objector's misuse of process, false claims of discovery and presentation of such redundant, irrelevant pleadings to the point that the Court suggested surcharge of Objector's share of the estate. Objector also seeks fees from outside the time period, not related to the success of Objector's actions and for discovery never propounded in over a year, and now, incredibly, Objector's attorney seeks payment for fees and services including discovery never sent, research and conferences with a proof of subscribing witness not needed and documents never filed.

Continued on Page 3

4. Administrator also questions the quality of the work, the continuing redundant pleadings, continued rants about personal property, insurance, antiques, etc. without any attempt at discovery. Objecter is claiming responsibility for having Petitioner place the sales proceeds in a blocked account, and, as a result, Administrator would not be able to "abscond" with the funds. Objecter fails to explain how that benefited the estate when, Administrator with limited authority had to place the money into a blocked account by law without Objecter's intervention. Contrary to Objecter's Petition, it was neither reasonable or necessary for the Objecter to object to the sale of the real property and the proceeds had to be placed in a blocked account, Objecter or her attorney, had nothing to do with it, and only appeared to harass the Administrator and Ashlee, cost them further money as residual beneficiaries and try to fatten her request for attorney's fees. The Court should not enable this desperate attempt at trying to justify these actions that were neither necessary no reasonable.
5. Objecter continues to request money for discovery work never propounded, but instead, delayed the process by a contrived and imagined "discovery process". Objecter's attorney still maintains there is a discovery dispute or "pending" discovery when, in fact, Objecter never sent any discovery. Administrator maintains that discovery was not needed as he was prepared to pay Objecter her inheritance. Administrator agrees that the court should surcharge the Objecter for these bizarre, delaying, harassing tactics, coupled with continuing misrepresentation to the Court regarding a discovery dispute that did not exist.
6. Objecter's attorney's verbose line item descriptions in her billing statements falsely claim that Administrator's attorney verbally assaulted her, when in fact, Administrator's attorney intentionally avoided discussions with Objecter's attorney because it was clear that (1) any conversation with Objecter's attorney was counter-productive to closing the estate, (2) discovery was neither reasonable or necessary, and (3) Objecter's attorney's continued rants made Objecter's attorney unapproachable.
7. Administrator contends that Objecter, from the threatened litigation without legal basis, unintelligible and irrelevant pleadings, billing for hours of legal research and meetings that were not beneficial to either Objecter or the estate and, most egregious, intentional or negligent misrepresentation about discovery disputes when, Objecter failed to propound any discovery or diligently prosecute the "Objection".
8. **Objecter's failure to comply with California Rule of Court 7.702-7.703 requires the court to dismiss the petition in its entirety.** California Rule of Court 7.702 states that petitions for extraordinary compensation must include a statement of the facts upon which the petition is based and must show a benefit to the estate (among other things). Administrator contends that Objecter failed to show the benefit to the estate for any fees after 11/05/13.
9. **Objecter's fee requests for the time period of 03/26/13 to 11/05/13 should be limited to those services that were necessary and reasonable.** The Administrator contends that attorneys should not be compensated when they make mistakes, and proceed in a manner that is not a standard of practice, does not benefit their client and does not benefit the estate. Administrator contends that Objecter embarked on that course and it was evident from the first correspondence to Administrator. Objecter opted for threatening litigation, and demanded payment and other unreasonable demands not founded in statute or common sense. Administrator contends that Objecter engaged in a pattern of bad faith tactics culminating in a series of misrepresentations to the Court.
10. As to the time entries for Objecter's attorney for the period of March 2013 – November 58, 2013, Petitioner finds the following entries neither reasonable nor necessary, for the reasons stated and feels the Court, in its discretion, should disregard these entries or severely limit them in time and number. [See document for detailed list of disputed entries].

Continued on Page 4

11. Objector's attorney has either refused or failed to adhere to the Court's narrowing of the issues of Objector's attorney's fees as to those necessary to achieve the probate of the 2006 Codicil. Objector has included request for services that were not reasonable and necessary but, contrary to the statutes, was harassing, delaying and meant to punish the Administrator and other beneficiary Ashlee. Unfortunately, Objector continues to assert false claims, similar to claims of a discovery dispute, now Objector is trying to discredit Mr. McCloskey by false claims of threats made by Mr. McCloskey against Objector and Objector's attorney, including Objector's attorney's amateurish attempt at giving the falsehood credibility by creating her own billing entries describing the attach in her own words on her billing statements and hoping that the Judge will see it on paper and therefore deem it to be true. The Court should not enable this kind of practice of law but should, as the Court suggested, surcharge the Objector appropriately, and in appropriate amounts, including the amount of time it took to respond to the Petition for Approval of Objector's Attorney's Fees and Costs.

Declaration of Daniel T. McCloskey in Support of Petitioner Gregory L. Taylor's Response to Objector Diane Taylor's Petition for Approval of Attorney's Fees and Costs filed 08/27/14 states:

1. Contrary to Objector's contention, the first time he spoke with Objector's attorney, Bonnie Anderson, outside of court appearances was on 07/10/14 at the hearing for the First and Final Petition of Administrator. At that time he advised Ms. Anderson that she was costing her client thousands of dollars and that I was embarrassed that she was an attorney.
2. He intentionally avoided discussions with Ms. Anderson because it was clear that any conversation with her outside of court was counter-productive to closing the estate. In short, Ms. Anderson is unapproachable.
3. He contends that Ms. Anderson is not credible and has engaged in a course of misrepresentation in this matter that can only be described as bizarre.
4. He states that he did not speak to Ms. Anderson at any other time outside of court and/or on 08/20/13 at the hearing on the Amended Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary.

Reply Re Notice of Non-Opposition to Objector's Petition to Approve Attorney's Fees and Costs; and Declaration of Bonnie J. Anderson filed 08/29/14 states that no opposition to Objector's petition for Attorney's Fees and Costs was timely filed or served. Service by fax does not constitute valid service unless the parties have written confirmation of agreement to accept service by fax.

Petitioner Gregory L. Taylor's Petition for Surcharge of Objector Diane Taylor's
 Testate Share of the Estate

| | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|--|--|----|
| DOD: 02/16/09 | | <p>GREGORY L. TAYLOR, Administrator, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Petitioner states: This Petition is made on the grounds that after Petitioner moved and received an Order probating the 1989 Will and the 2006 Codicil, Objector immediately began to engage in bad faith tactics requiring Petitioner to respond to numerous unintelligible, irrelevant and redundant objections, declarations and improper requests for attorney's fees in pleadings couched as objections to the First and Final Account; conjured a "discovery dispute" that was not real and, in fact, Objector engaged in no discovery and failed to provide authorities for objections by engaging in a free for all cut and paste method of requiring the Court and Petitioner to expend time and energy responding to meritless pleadings, objections and declarations. Petitioner contends that all such actions by the Objector were done in bad faith and were designed to delay distribution, punish the Petitioner and Ashlee Brown, and other residual beneficiaries and caused the Court and the Petitioner, time and energy in responding to the bad faith tactics of Objector. Petitioner is requesting that the Court surcharge the Objector's share of the estate the amount of attorney's fee it has cost the personal representative to defend against the bad faith tactics.</p> <p>Petitioner prays for an Order:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Finding that Objector acted in bad faith and engaged in harassing, annoying and delaying tactics to delay distribution of the estate; 2. Finding Objector's conduct in this matter, filing irrelevant and unintelligible objections, declarations and other pleadings since the Petitioner was granted Letters of Administration was unreasonable and unnecessary; and 3. Finding that Diane Taylor's share of the estate being withheld be surcharged the amount of \$6,600.00 for attorneys' fees and \$435 for costs incurred by the Petitioner in responding to the harassing, annoying and delaying tactics of the Objector. <p>Memorandum of Points & Authorities and Declaration in Support of Petition filed 08/08/14.</p> <p>Opposition to Petition to Surcharge Objector filed 08/26/14 states that Petitioner initially tried to deny Objector her inheritance which necessitated her hiring an attorney and filing Objections. Upon her objecting, Petitioner amended his Petition for Probate to include Objector as a beneficiary and admit the 2006 Codicil to Probate. Objector is the prevailing party in this matter and respectfully requests that this Petition for Surcharge be denied and requests attorney's fees and costs incurred in responding to this Petition.</p> | <p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Need Order.</p> | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Cont. from | | | | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | | | |
| ✓ | Verified | | | |
| | Inventory | | | |
| | PTC | | | |
| | Not.Cred. | | | |
| ✓ | Notice of Hrg | | | |
| ✓ | Aff.Mail | | | w/ |
| | Aff.Pub. | | | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | | | |
| | Pers.Serv. | | | |
| | Conf. Screen | | | |
| | Letters | | | |
| | Duties/Supp | | | |
| | Objections | | | |
| | Video Receipt | | | |
| | CI Report | | | |
| | 9202 | | | |
| | Order | | | x |
| | Aff. Posting | | | |
| | Status Rpt | | | |
| | UCCJEA | | | |
| | Citation | | | |
| | FTB Notice | | | |

Reviewed by: JF

Reviewed on: 09/05/14

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 1B - Taylor